



**BRITISH
NATIONAL
PARTY**

ISSUE 104 | SPRING 2011

IDENTITY

THE OFFICIAL MAGAZINE OF THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY

WAR OF THE BANKS

North African
struggle for
democracy or
élite-funded
coup?

BNP & UKIP


Why shouldn't
they join forces?

PEAK OIL

It's here.
The question is,
how bad will it get?

RESISTANCE

Introduction to the
new youth wing



**THE FINAL BLASPHEMY
'CHURCH OF ENGLAND'
RELEASES
THE BEAST**

**BRITISH
NATIONALIST
INSIDE**

Must Read...



2



4

2 War Of The Banks

Michelle Harrington analyses the role and motives of international financiers in promoting North Africa's 'revolution'

4 All Good Nationalists?

British National Party Chairman Nick Griffin explains why uniting with UKIP is not an option for real nationalists



12

8 Speakers' Corner

10 Resistance Is A Virtue

Kieren Trent lays out his vision for the youth movement of the British National Party

12 Waiting For The Horsemen

Nick Griffin explains what's really going on in the Middle East and analyses changes Peak Oil will bring about

14 British Nationalist

Keeping you updated on party developments

Printed & promoted for and on behalf of the British National Party,
PO Box 14, Welshpool, Powys SY21 0WE

Editorial

Identity – Back With a Bang and a Clear Purpose

Welcome to the first issue of the new-look, mass-circulation *Identity* magazine. We are very sorry for the long delay in producing this issue, but we are happy that we are able to deliver such improvements now that the British National Party has got over the financial crisis that knocked our plans out of kilter last year.

Our aim from now on is to produce *Identity* in place of the normal *British Nationalist*

members' bulletin once a quarter. In between times, we will continue to produce the glossy fold-out *British Nationalist* that has been so well received by all our members since the start of this year.

Identity has a key role to play in terms of political education and ideological training. We intend to make every article count, covering vital questions of policy and strategy in every issue from now on.

Our aim is not to run articles that merely rehash news items that have already appeared in the mainstream media. Rather, we will strive to bring you in-depth analysis of the very issues that the controlled media refuse to touch, and to keep you abreast of plans to push our party forward in these most challenging and interesting of times.

We hope you appreciate the new approach and look forward to hearing what you think.

Michelle Harrington analyses the role and motives of international financiers in promoting North Africa's 'revolution'

WAR OF THE BANKS

Left photograph:
George Soros, a key player in promoting 'revolution'

Middle photograph:
War – a very profitable business

Right photograph:
Muhammad El Baradei is touted as a new leader for Egypt

The enormous and baleful influence of the banks in international power politics is one of the great taboo subjects of history. The role of international banks in promoting wars, subverting democracy and fomenting revolutions is well documented but unmentionable in polite political society.

So the controlled media have told us nothing about one of the most powerful factors behind influencing the 'liberal' drive against the moderate dictatorships of states like Tunisia and Egypt – the conflict between the Sharia banks of the Islamic world, and the Western banks, particularly the House of Rothschild.

Islamic banks have been eating into Rothschild profits in the Middle East. They are growing very rapidly among the world's exploding Muslim populations, and (in these catastrophic economic times) they are more stable than Western banks, which rely on future growth to make their whole debt-finance system work.

While, of course, the British National Party regards Islamism as a fundamental threat to the survival of the West, that should not blind us to financial and political reality, or lead us to conclude that 'my enemy's enemy is my friend'. For the banks

behind the private creation of credit as an interest-bearing debt are most certainly no friends of the West or of nationalism.

A review of some of the key developments in Arab banking shows just how much of a threat to their traditional dominance of global banking institutions like the Rothschilds now face from the moderate Islamic banking industry.

In 2007 the *New York Times* reported that Islamic banking (which includes loans, credit cards and bonds) would spread from countries like Egypt to a mainstream western audience. With oil prices rising, the Muslim banking system would be set to have even more investment capital than the estimated \$1.5 trillion from oil already floating around the Middle East.

In 2008, French Finance Minister Christine Lagarde announced France's intention to make Paris "the capital of Islamic finance" and said several Islamic banks would operate in the French capital in 2009 to the tune of 500 to 600 billion dollars, growing by an average eleven per cent a year.

In October 2010, *The Telegraph* reported on the opening of a huge financial project called Tunis Financial Harbour. President Ben

Ali was working to make Tunisia the regional financial centre of North Africa. Islamic investment bank Gulf Finance House (GFH) and the Tunisian government created the first offshore finance centre in North Africa, intending it to act as a bridge between the EU and North Africa. According to the *International Business Times* (28 May 2010), Tunisia had established relations with twelve Islamic banks in collaboration with the Institute of Islamic Banks in Bahrain.

In October of 2008, the *Washington Post* commented on the collapse of Western financial institutions, stating: "another financial sector is gaining new confidence: Islamic banking. Proponents of the ancient practice, which looks to sharia law for guidance and bans interest and trading in debt, have been promoting Islamic finance as a cure for the global financial meltdown."

Here lies the reason that the Rothschilds and Western banks generally have felt so threatened by the competition of these more conservative Islamic banks. But now look at what has happened as Western trained 'rebels' have taken over:

The new Tunis Financial Harbour was on the brink of becoming the regional financial centre of North Africa, but one of the first actions of the newly

'democratised' Central Bank of Tunisia was to seize control of Zitouna Bank (Tunisia's first Islamic bank). The bank owned by Sakher El Materi, the son-in-law of deposed Tunisian leader Zine El Abidine Ben Ali, was placed under "the control" of the central bank.

And who trained those rebels? The revolts in Tunisia and Egypt follow the same pattern and were planned by the Open Society network of George Soros and the National Endowment for Democracy (NED). At least ten of the 22 directors of the NED are also members of the Rockefeller-founded Council on Foreign Relations.

Thus 'Western' High Finance is coordinating international crises, conducting revolutions under the guise of bringing democracy and deposing despots. The aim? To create initial chaos and the need for a new leader. They then offer a solution: install a puppet who will do the economic bidding of the puppet masters. Citizens become economic serfs in exchange for freedom of speech and freedom of association.

Mohamed El Baradei is already being touted as a new leader for Egypt. ElBaradei is a trustee of the International Crisis Group. Another board member of

this group is George Soros, who sits on the executive committee of the ICG.

Soros has also played a leading role in the funding of the various 'colour' revolutions in Eastern Europe, all of which have had as their aim the undermining of traditional society and the opening up of former client states of nationalist Russia to Western development and banking profits.

Now the pattern is being repeated in the Middle East. The revolutionaries themselves may be fighting for freedom, but the people backing them are in it for profit and power.



BUYING 'REVOLUTION' – HOW THE 'WEST' BROUGHT DOWN ITS OWN DICTATOR

Tunisian recipients for George Soro National Endowment for Democracy grants for 2009:

- Tunisia's Al-Jahedh Forum for Free Thought (AJFFT) \$131,000 conducting 'leadership training workshops and support youth cultural projects'
- Association for the Promotion of Education (APES) \$27,000 (strengthen capacity of teachers)
- Mohamed Ali Center for Research, Studies and Training (CEMAREF) \$33,500 (train core group of Tunisian youth activists – leadership and organisational skills)

2006:

- Al-Jahedh Forum for Free Thought (AJFFT), \$51,000
- American Center for International Labor Solidarity, \$99,026

- Arab Institute for Human Rights (AIHR) \$37,500, for the purposes of training a cadre of teachers in "civic values"
- Committee for the Respect of Freedom and Human Rights in Tunisia (CRLDH) \$70,000, to advocate amnesty for political prisoners
- Mohamed Ali Center for Research, Studies and Training (CEMAREF) \$39,500 2007: AJFFT received \$45,000
- The Arab Institute for Human Rights received \$43,900 to train teachers in their so-called 'civic values' ideology, focusing on primary schools and training school inspectors
- The Center for International Private Enterprise (CIPE) received \$175,818 to inculcate free enterprise doctrines among Tunisian businessmen

2007:

- The Mohamed Ali Center for Research, Studies, and Training received \$38,500
- Moroccan Organization for Human Rights (OMDH) \$60,000 – To strengthen a group of young Tunisian attorneys as they mobilize citizens on reform issues

2008:

- Al-Jahedh Forum for Free Thought received \$57,000
- Center for International Private Enterprise, \$163,205
- Centre Mohamed Ali de Reserches d'Etudes et de Formation, \$37,800
- Tunisian Arab Civitas Institute, \$43,000, aimed at training teachers on the NED ideologies of "civic values"



“ All Good Nationalists? ”

British National Party Chairman Nick Griffin explains why uniting with the UKIP is not an option for real Nationalists

“Shouldn't the nationalists get together? The British National Party and UKIP – we're on the same side, so why can't we unite?”

This is a question I'm often asked when I speak at branch meetings, invariably by newcomers, because most people who've been around a bit longer have already worked out the answer for themselves:

We are 100% nationalists; UKIP are internationalists who happen to object to one (and only one) aspect of internationalism. We are ethnic nationalists; UKIP are multiculturalists who spout the liberal racist fiction that British nationality is a matter of holding the right passport, thereby denying the native peoples of our islands our unique identity and right to preserve it.

We are the eternal enemies of the liberal system that is dragging our country to destruction; they are its puppets. We are genuine; they are as bent as a nine-bob note.

That is not to say that there are not

very many good people in UKIP, for there are. It is not to say that we do not agree with them in a lot of what they have to say, for we do. It is simply to point out the reality of the situation. Let's look at the facts:

- UKIP oppose Britain's membership of the European Union, just as we do. But that's all they do oppose. Far from being 'nationalist', they swallow hook, line and sinker the old Establishment fantasy of a 'special relationship' with the United States of America. Hence their wholehearted support for Blair and Cameron's antics as the poodles of Bush and Obama, and their backing for neo-con wars such as Iraq, Afghanistan and the coming invasion of the Middle East.
- UKIP support Britain's involvement in other sovereignty-swallowing organisations such as NATO and, most sinister of all, the embryonic One-World bureaucratic dictatorship of the United Nations. Only the British National Party

takes the practical and principled stance that ALL foreign entanglements are a danger to our chances of living in peace and a mortal threat to the national sovereignty that was secured by generations of British heroes who fought and died for Britain's independence.

- UKIP are fervent admirers of the international banking system. This despite the fact that the power and wealth-stealing capacity of the European Union is a tiny fraction of that of the international banks, with their fraudulent and inherently unstable practice of creating credit out of thin air and then charging interest on their fiat money. In the words of Mayer Rothschild, “Give me control of a nation's money.”

Well, like UKIP, we care deeply about who make our laws, and want to bring that power back from Brussels to Westminster. Unlike UKIP, we also care who issues our

credit, and about building a banking system that serves the nation, instead of sucking out its lifeblood.

- UKIP advocate what they call a 'balanced migration policy', under which, for every Brit who flees over-taxed, over-crowded Britain, they would in let an immigrant from the Third World. They object to Slovaks and Poles, but not to Somalis and Pakistanis.

Our position is much simpler: Britain is so overcrowded that we'll simply shut the doors to all immigration and allow the natural, gentle decline of the population towards a sustainable 30 million over several generations.

- UKIP sometimes steal some of our message about the dangers posed by radical Islam, but they resolutely refuse to address the roots of the problem: a) The fact that Islam is inherently radical thanks to the contents of the Koran and, b) The fact that the Muslim population already in Britain has such a high birthrate that, even without UKIP's suicidal 'balanced migration' scheme, they will continue taking over our society unless the problem is debated and sensible, adult and timely answers are found.

- UKIP has inherited from its Thatcherite Tory (actually 19th-century liberal) free-trade roots a ferocious commitment to international capitalism and privatisation. They object to the Post Office being sold off on account of an EU Directive, but would be happy to see the NHS, the education system and our housing stock sold off to their friends in Big Business.

We, by contrast, recognise that, while private enterprise is the motor of a healthy economy, allowing multi-national corporations to loot our national common wealth and establish

giant monopolies of their own is as destructive of widespread private property, the work ethic and national sovereignty as any threat from the European Union.

We are nationalists because we recognise that the nation is an extended family, and that looking after members of that family who, through no fault of their own, are poor, sick or vulnerable, is an obligation. We are far from being egalitarians, but we do believe in Social Justice and that the State has a legitimate role to play restricting the greed, corruption and socially and culturally destructive power of unrestrained capitalism.

We are the eternal enemies of liberalism – UKIP are its puppets

The list of fundamental differences between our truly principled and ideologically coherent nationalist position and the mishmash of neo-con adventurism and recycled Tory dogmas of UKIP could go on and on, but no doubt the point is already grasped – we are nationalists; UKIP are not. So why should or how could we unite with them?

THE ARTIFICIAL SAFETY VALVE

Since our ideals are not only very different from UKIP's, but also clearly far more attractive to the vast majority of ordinary people, the question arises: why did this ultra-right split from the Tory party beat us in Oldham and Barnsley?

There are three simple and closely related reasons: 1) Money; 2) UKIP is relentlessly plugged by huge sections of the mass media, from *The Daily Express* through to the BBC, and 3) UKIP doesn't face the industrial-scale hate machine that operates against the British National Party on a daily basis, especially during election campaigns.

Why is this? Well, perhaps UKIP have simply been lucky in finding a string

of different multi-millionaires to back them with almost limitless cash over most of the last decade, but even this stretches belief to the utmost. Have all UKIP's sugar daddies really been genuine and spectacularly generous patriots, or have some been conduits for big money from organisations or individuals with a very different agenda – ensuring that British resistance to the EU and other internationalist plots is led up a blind alley?

This analysis is given massive credibility by the blatant way in which the BBC, despite its well-deserved reputation as "the Brussels Broadcasting Corporation", relentlessly promotes UKIP's massively compromised leader Nigel Farage.

Not for Nigel's relentless hectoring and rattling of his own and other UKIP skeletons, despite the fact that a few minutes' searching on the Internet reveals a whole graveyard of them. No, only polite deference and repeated opportunities for him to spout anti-Euro, xenophobic and even 'Islamophobic' soundbites that are anathema to the BBC's ruling elite. Truly, UKIP's is a licenced verbal rebellion.

In addition to such favourable treatment in the broadcast media, the Farage safety valve is also lionised in much of the press, with *The Express*, *Mail*, *Telegraph* and *The Sun* all giving various columnists free rein to talk it up.

UKIP also benefits hugely from the fact that there is no Marxist/Zionist hate-machine churning out poison and disinformation about it morning, noon and night. We face a relentless drip-drip of negative propaganda in sensationalist documentaries, in news reports, on humorous TV news reviews and in millions of 'third party' smear leaflets; UKIP is actively helped to play down the sleazy fiddles in which so many of its prominent members have been involved.

Finally, in both the Oldham and Barnsley by-elections, UKIP were able to do deals with the big brewers to plaster every tied pub in town with their campaign posters. This made it

look as though the whole community was on their side. Stark contrast to the position we face, when landlords get visits from police bullies warning them that if they let any more private meetings of the British National Party go ahead, they will lose their licences.

These factors put together give UKIP an enormous advantage over us, none the less effective for the fact that it is wholly artificial.

The only remaining question is why that advantage, until now realised only in European Elections, has spread to Westminster contests as well. Here, too, the reason is completely outside our control, for it's the question of who is in Number 10.

Ever since the British National Party first began winning elections, we have faced a Labour government drastically out of touch with its own working-class voter base. As our electoral base is overwhelmingly among the same sections of society, this made it relatively easy for us to secure anti-Labour protest votes.

UKIP, by contrast, appeals far more to middle class voters, people who have throughout the same period generally felt the overriding imperative to get Labour out at any cost, including voting for a Conservative party that they know has sold the pass on all their dearest issues.

Unfortunately for us, both these factors went into reverse when Cameron formed a liberal-Tory coalition and, from the safety of the Opposition benches, Labour could start to use empty slogans to pose as the voice of working-class resentment against an out-of-touch ruling class.

Former Conservative voters now have no reason for giving Cameron the benefit of the doubt; instead they are queuing up to kick him by voting for UKIP – a party which is in fact more in keeping with traditional Toryism than the modern ConDems.

Meanwhile former Labour voters who may be deeply suspicious of Labour's obsession in office with Political Correctness and the multicult are

now tempted 'home' by a desperate desire to kick out the Tories, or at least protest against the cuts and falling standard of living.

THE WAY AHEAD

All of which means that, in big 'first past the post' elections especially, we in the British National Party face several tough years. We must get used to it and understand that we will survive it – and indeed grow tougher and more effective because of it.

The first thing to understand is that there is no disgrace in being beaten by such a blatant Establishment safety valve. The disgrace would be to fail to understand what is going on, and to fail to adapt to the new circumstances so that we can continue to make progress.

We're the real rebels – the rebels with a Cause

So now we understand what is going on, how can we adapt and grow? There are various factors that will help us:

Because UKIP's membership are on average much older, less active and more middle class than ours, it is very hard for them to follow us into the urban working-class communities where our core support still resides. While Labour is riding high in the polls in such areas at present, within two years they will have taken control of just about every urban council in the country, with their Lib Dem rivals in places such as Sheffield and Liverpool being effectively wiped off the political map.

As a result, within probably about two years, while the ConDems will be getting the blame for every bad government decision, Labour will be exceptionally vulnerable to renewed British National Party challenges to their misrule and pro-minority spending scandals at council level.

Even today, Labour's renewed lead in such areas is not in truth a mark of great popularity but rather the result of the superb campaign machine they have built in the years since we frightened the life out of them in the local elections of 2005/6. Most people still grumble and don't vote, but everyone who is likely to vote Labour is pressed to get a postal vote and is on Labour's list for relentless grooming in the run-up to election day.

Which tells us what we have got to do: avoid gazing at our navels as advocated by assorted cyber-warriors, self-servers and *Searchlight* agents provocateurs, and quietly get on with the serious business of sinking roots in local communities so that smears bounce off us, while developing our own voter-targeting machine and postal-vote blocks.

The good news is that we are making real progress in these fields. The recent Burnley by-election, where we comfortably beat both the Lib Dems (who fought a vigorous campaign) and Conservatives in what had been a Lib Dem seat, was the first contest where our campaign database Alfred turned electoral registers into canvass sheets, allowed easy data input and tied up with very productive experiments in telephone canvassing and the use of questionnaires on the doorsteps.

We still have a long way to go, but our local electioneering 'best practice' has advanced more in the last six months than in the previous six years.

It will of course take a long time before our grass-roots campaigns can gather enough voter data to overhaul Labour's five-year lead in their core areas, but the demoralised remnants of local Tory and Lib Dem machines should be easier meat for us to chew up. We have the tools to win – now we need to increase the number of activists we have proficient in their use.

More radical still, the British National Party has a tradition of street activism and a willingness to go head-to-head with the Powers That Be. This will allow us to leave UKIP standing in the new, unprecedented times of political and

socio-economic turmoil that we are just beginning to enter.

As the juggernaut of cuts, economic pain and corporate looting of our national common wealth gathers pace, non-violent direct action protests against our Masters and their betrayal will become steadily more appealing to an increasingly restive public.

When towns or estates are left without police, for example, the Community Observation Patrols pioneered by our activists in Wiltshire could be extraordinarily attractive to law-abiding local residents at their wit's end with anti-social behaviour and petty crime.

Less promising in terms of newspaper headlines, but every bit as good at winning hearts and minds, the coming downward spiral to the full-scale economic slump in de-industrialised Britain will provide the need for community self-help schemes to look after the elderly. Soup kitchens such as those run intermittently by the Front National in Paris could easily become a routine part of our support-winning armoury.

Economic deprivation will also sharpen tensions between different ethno-religious communities. This will provide issues tailor-made for us and too controversial for anyone else to try to muscle in on. We will be experimenting with such extra-electoral campaigning this summer, on the scandal of Muslim grooming of young girls for drugs and sex. Experience gained in such a field will be readily applicable in other areas too.

TOO CLEVER BY HALF?

Finally, UKIP – while at present apparently a troublesome roadblock on our long road to power – may in the end be an example of Fate working in a mysterious way, and just one more instance of our opponents being too clever by half.

To have forced the BBC and the moneybags in the background to create a safety valve so big that it can beat the natural parties of government in European and parliamentary by-elections is a remarkable achievement. Our achievement! In their haste to head us off at the pass,

the Establishment have collectively not merely had the ministers of successive governments expropriate and thereby legitimise our rhetoric and key policies. They have also had to let loose the rough, populist UKIP beast to steal our votes, and in doing so undermine the tribal loyalty of the English middle class to the Conservative party.

This is more than just a matter of breaking old voting habits. It is also likely to allow the Tory wets to consolidate their grip on their party to the extent that they frighten off the last 'hardliners', who up until now have provided their party's rotten corpse with a threadbare camouflage of patriotism and commonsense.



More important still, the fast-growing UKIP that we are likely to see over the next couple of years will politicise and awaken sections of Middle England which would be very unlikely to move straight from the Tory party to us.

But let them once start to dig around into what is behind the European 'Project' and, especially in the days of the Internet, they will discover truly disturbing facts: the Bilderberg Group, Common Purpose, the Frankfurt School, the banking swindle and the fact that David Cameron's 'Big Society' is not actually a 'right wing' idea at all but applied revisionist Marxism.

Several years ago, Nigel Farage closed down several entire UKIP branches because they discovered such things and started to speak about them. Here, then, is potentially UKIP's true place in the future history of the awakening and revolt of the British people: not

a successfully deployed safety valve but an unwitting mechanism for the education and radicalisation of what was previously the well-meaning but brain-dead Tory right-wing.

A stepping stone by which, when UKIP hits some kind of buffer, those people can move from protest party to revolutionary organisation. Even before then, this phenomenon could easily turn UKIP from being a solution for the Powers That Be into a problem for them.

Because we are only in the earliest stages of the long road to the British Revolution, and all sorts of unintended consequences will play out along the way.

A tall order? Well, in large measure, that probably depends on us. We must work hard – especially online in the uncontrollable ferment of social networking sites – to make the facts that we unearth, explain and popularise infect the body politic of UKIP.

The more people they recruit over the next couple of years, the bigger the pond we will have to fish in for our own search for new blood. This is why, in the months and even years ahead, you will see steadily more emphasis placed on the things that will turn events to our advantage: Ideological development and education (this magazine being just one example), actions designed to sink deep roots within local communities, new vote-maximisation techniques in electioneering, and a much higher 'street' profile of stunts and non-violent direct action designed to recruit new activists and keep us at the forefront of the public mind as the real rebels. The rebels *with a Cause!*

Speakers' Corner

Please send letters to:
PO Box 14, Welshpool, Powys SY21 0WE
 or email identity@bnp.org.uk

The Final Blasphemy



I really couldn't believe my eyes when I saw a leaflet advertising a 'Celebration of St. George' at Manchester Cathedral. At first glance, my heart leapt.

Dominated by a large red Crusader Cross, the leaflet gave me a moment of hope that – at long last – the Church of England had turned over a new leaf. Could it really be that, after decades of betrayal and dumbing down of our heritage and unique national version of Christianity, the C of E was finally rediscovering its roots and spirit?

But then, as I looked more closely, my heart sank. First there was the inexplicable but insulting deliberate misspelling of the word 'crosse'. Then the promise (more like a threat) of an 'experimental' (in reality, more likely heretical) liturgy.

Worst of all is the grotesque perversion of the image of St. George and the Dragon. St. George is portrayed as black, despite the fact that the historical George was born in Greek Asia Minor before the Turkish invasion and is recorded as having been tall and fair-haired – in other words of European stock.

The Dragon, for millennia the

symbol of Evil and rapacious greed, is depicted as a sad and meek creature. He wears chains of slavery and, judging from the street details in the background, is a victim of Western oppression.

This inversion of one of our most powerful myths is at the very least a grotesque and deliberate insult to the culture and heritage of England and to our unique English identity. At worst it is a consciously Satanic travesty of Christian symbolism.

Either way, I decided that those responsible for this abomination should not be allowed to get away with it unchallenged. I took copies of the leaflet and showed it to many friends and British National Party colleagues, all of whom were as disgusted as I was.

When Nick Griffin, he immediately offered to pay for leaflets and other campaign material out of the English Fair Fund into which he pays the 'good causes' tithe of his Euro salary. A small team on our plan of campaign.

We and members of the Christian Council of Britain will be attending Manchester Cathedral to make our views known and to stand up for the memory and integrity of our Patron Saint. I urge all readers who would like to help us resist the twisted, anti-English and anti-Christian propaganda of the apostate clergy to get in touch with me via email.

Together, we can stand up for our traditions and identity.

Angus Matthys
 North West


TAKE ACTION - Make a Formal Complaint

This attack on St. George and England is being fronted by **Canon Andrew Shanks**. Let him know – politely but firmly – what you think of this event by emailing:

canon.shanks@manchestercathedral.org

or phoning **0161 833 2220**

Keynes on Keeping Cultural Identities



On reading a book by Robert Skidelsky on the life of John Maynard Keynes, probably the most influential and famous economist of all time, it seems that Keynes was in favour of maintaining racial and cultural identities.

He said: "In the post war world... we should encourage small political and cultural units. It would be a fine thing to have thirty or forty capital cities in Europe, each the centre of a self-governing country entirely free from national minorities (who would be dealt with by migrations where necessary)."

Strewh! If this gets around Lefties will doubtless be ripping his books off the university bookshelves to burn them.

Ralph Musgrave
 Durham

Beware the Euromed Project



In 1995, when we had a Tory government, Britain and other members of the EU signed a little known agreement with the mainly Arab nations bordering the Mediterranean. Known as the Euromed project, this virtually secret agreement was to allow 300 million Muslims free access to any EU member

country starting January 2010.

As it appears that the project has never been cancelled, the Turks do not really need to bother whether they are allowed to join the EU as the 71 million Turkish Moslems and 230 million others can already come here and begin to colonise us now. We need an urgent campaign to get this news to our people.

Cllr. Mike Whitby
Wrexham

University Standards Purposely Lowered



Now that New Labour government is at an end will we see the same drive for an impossible equality in educational establishments? As I write it is too early to see exactly where the Tory-Lib coalition is going, but it seems that the policy will be little different from Labour's lowering of educational standards so that more people qualify for university and further education in general.

In principle this may be a good thing, except that the qualifications are continually watered down and become practically useless.

It appeared that Labour wished to get as many young people into university as possible so that in the rarefied surroundings of political correctness they could indoctrinate the students with 'cultural Marxism', with its anti-patriotism, pro-internationalism, an integrated federal Europe and eventually world government.

G. Simpson
Stockport

Academies Bill is Anti-Democratic

Teachers, lawyers and parents have warned that Education Minister Michael Gove's first bill, to create thousands more academies, is "anti-democratic" and will concentrate the fate of the country's

schools into too few hands.

Well, it could not be in worse hands than it has for the last 50 years! They should close down the Dept of Education completely and save at least £40 bn, which will be painless for all except those guilty people who have been rewarded for failure get the sack.



Dept of Education funding was £30 bn in 1997 and is now £77.7 bn, yet educational standards continue to fall, which it has done so ever since the late forties.

Mona McNee
Liverpool

Normans and Saxons – We're Not 'Them & Us'



Interesting though the 'Hereward the Wake' article in a recent issue of Identity was, the blood line connections to the English of William the Conqueror were not mentioned.

William was a great nephew (by blood as he was illegitimate) of Emma, wife of English King Ethelred II (the Unready) who died in 1016. William's wife Matilda was a descendant of Ethelswite, daughter of Alfred the Great, who died in 899. Thus, both William and Matilda were cousins of King Edward the Confessor.

Harold Godwinson, who died on the battlefield at Hastings in 1066, really had a rather tenuous claim to the

English crown. I would suggest that with the Saxons and Normans it was not quite the case of 'them & us', at least among the ruling classes, as is fondly imagined. Especially as Harold's mother was a relative of King Canute (died 1035) who was a Dane. Harold's paternal line beyond his father Godwin Earl of Wessex (died 1053) son of Wulfwoth, is unknown.

William Countenay
Kent

Controlling Our Own Infrastructure



Is it possible that the takeover of Cadburys by the American conglomerate may have been the final straw? There does seem to be some stirring of concern among the population and the media that enough is enough and that this takeover was an unwelcome development.

But this is only the latest of a long list of iconic and important British companies that have been absorbed by foreign concerns. Our seaports, airports, power generating and distribution companies, amongst others, are now all controlled by foreign concerns. As patriots we should be extremely concerned about this situation. There are also practical concerns, as well as financial reasons, that we as a country should be in control of our infrastructure and major companies.

The British National Party should undertake to try and repatriate these companies and undertakings and make it a commitment in future election manifestoes. It will not be easy, but at least it will be an aspiration that will be popular with voters.

A.Cowell
Southall

Resistance Is A Virtue



The leader of Resistance, Kieren Trent, looks at the past failures of the nationalist youth movement, shares the progress Resistance is making, and looks at factors affecting the group.

It would be a lie to say that the nationalist youth movement has been ticking along at a satisfactory pace. Many readers will have been involved in nationalism longer than I have and can concur that we have not previously had an array of young people attending our meetings and getting actively involved within the movement. Looking back and moping over past failures will achieve nothing, yet acknowledging and understanding the mistakes nationalism has previously made in this area can offer a candid guide on how not to run the future affairs of Resistance.

Previously, young idealists joining the British National Party would come into very little contact with people of a similar age. Often the only contact they had with the party would be via their local branch. Depending on levels of activity, these young persons could meet likeminded

people as little as once a month, a definite formula for disenchantment.

Resistance is addressing past failures with simple measures. Resistance is not a side attraction to the party – the young people are the heartbeat of our party.

The group has so far established an executive body made up of twelve selected members from the different regions across our great nation. This body will primarily be in charge of building up the regions and getting young people together on a regular basis.

Political events will be a key part of Resistance as a way to get our young people active and to ensure that they gain invaluable experience of nationalist politics. There will be no thuggish marches or acts of juvenile delinquency from our members – we are solely in the business of furthering the cause.

So far we have held a vigil in London for the indigenous victims of racist murder, as well as several highly successful meetings. The passion for our cause is there, and Resistance will provide an arena for our youth where they can express themselves and give something positive back to their nation and communities.

THE NEED FOR A STRONG YOUTH MOVEMENT

All across Britain, people are demanding answers to the problems facing our nation today. Horrendous events, such as the scenes witnessed in 2009, when Muslims taunted our brave heroes, have enraged the British public, forcing a mighty reaction.

Nationalism's failure to mobilise as events have unfolded is inexcusable and has resulted in the existence of the English Defence League (EDL),

Right: London demonstration to raise awareness of indigenous victims of racism

Below: The Resistance banner, featuring conceived of and produced by members of the group



RESISTANCE

FOR OUR CULTURE & FREEDOM

www.resistanceonline.org.uk

info@resistanceonline.org.uk

[www.facebook/resistanceonline](https://www.facebook.com/resistanceonline)

filling the void where nationalism has failed. The growth of the EDL is proof that this generation of youngsters is concerned for the future of Britain. This realisation that our society is broken and sick is the first step on the road to joining the ranks of the nationalist cause. Resistance must engross these youngsters and offer viable political solutions to their concerns.

I do not wish to think further as to the motives of the EDL leadership. Doing summersaults in your mind over conspiracy theories can only leave you with a sore head. What we know for sure is that we cannot stand idle whilst the EDL takes advantage of public anger. The public rightly demands answers on a range of issues such as mass immigration, unemployment, the breakdown of Christian values and the probability of a double-dip recession.

The career politicians are no longer in touch with normal folk; they have become as foreign as the multitudes of people who land on our shores each day.

Adapting our ideology, or selling our souls for thirty pieces of silver, is not an option. A stalwart attitude to our principles is needed. Only the British men and women can be the nation's redeemers – those who have lived and worked among us. It is these people our cause must inspire before we see our victory day. They are, however, looking for leadership, for strength, for Resistance.

THE FUTURE FOR RESISTANCE

Resistance can only be judged on its achievements – action speaks louder than words. At the heart of Resistance is the belief that the end does not justify the means. For if our cause is not worth

fighting for in its entirety, then it is not worth fighting for at all. If we have to lie and cheat our way to power, power is not worth grasping.

We want youth committed to bettering themselves and the movement, to offer the main party a broad group of ideologically sound and morally upright Britons that can be utilised as the party becomes the mainstream, replacing the old order. Resistance will therefore focus heavily on important social and ideological issues.

If, like the phoenix, we are to rise from the abyss, we must have a strong youth organisation dedicated to the cause. Resistance militants will become shining examples to other young adults around them. For these individuals, nationalism is not just a hobby, but a way of life.



WAITING FOR THE HORSEMEN

What is going on in the Middle East? Are we really seeing a natural and essentially wholesome desire for democracy and the rooting out of corruption? Or is what has been presented by the controlled media as an 'Arab Revolt' against greedy dictatorships in truth being manipulated by sinister and powerful forces hell-bent on reshaping the Middle East, and indeed the World as a whole, for their own profit? Nick Griffin looks behind the headlines and brings you the facts.

“And I looked, and beheld a pale horse: and his name that sat on him was Death, and Hell followed with him. And power was given unto them over the fourth part of the earth, to kill with sword, and with hunger, and with death, and with the beasts of the earth.”

Revelation 6:8

Get ready for a rough ride. A very rough ride indeed, because the era of easy oil and dirt-cheap energy that has fuelled decades of economic growth, prosperity and a rampant population explosion has just come to an end. And get used to a phrase and concept that is going to become an ever-larger factor in our lives, economic prospects and social and political endeavours: Peak Oil.

Peak Oil is an unavoidable fact of geological reality. Every individual oil well, oil field, oil-producing country, and indeed the entire world, reaches a point in its life when half the oil has been used. This first half is the easiest to produce, takes the least energy to get out of the ground, and so is the most valuable in terms of its net energy input into our civilisation.

The second half, although still plentiful, is harder, more expensive and more dangerous

to produce, and yields a lower return of energy produced for energy invested.

The problem of Peak Oil was first grasped by American geoscientist M. King Hubbert, who in 1956 predicted the peaking of US oil production by 1970. Despite much scepticism, he turned out to be right, and since then Hubbert's steep-sided bell-curve of rapidly increasing production followed by equally rapid and inexorable decline has been shown to be correct in oil fields all over the world – including our own now nearly exhausted North Sea.

Over the last ten years, a debate has raged over when the world as a whole would reach Peak Oil. For much of that time, the main oil companies, governments and the International Energy Association spoke reassuringly of Peak Oil being perhaps 30 years away. This line was in stark contrast to the estimates of many industry experts who warned that the tipping point would be much sooner and would lead to catastrophic economic and social consequences.

With Peak Oilers suggested anything between an oil price shock that would derail the world economy, right up to the collapse of the human population through mass starvation, it was easy for the 'business as usual' optimists to characterise them as 'alarmist' and consign the problem to the relatively distant future. But over the last two years, the balance has shifted. From putting their heads in the

sand, governments and military intelligence departments in the USA, Britain and Germany have moved to acknowledge Peak Oil as a clear and present danger.

Most striking of all has been the U-turn of the International Energy Agency, which has switched from viewing Peak Oil as something thirty years ahead to acknowledging that global production of conventional liquid oil (the good stuff on which our post-war civilisation has been built) peaked back in 2006.

Thus the debate is over. Peak Oil is real, and it's right now. The IEA went on to estimate that, after a few years on a production plateau, global supplies of conventional crude oil would decline at a rate of four per cent per year.

That might not sound much, but a four-per-cent gap between production and demand during the Yom Kippur War led to a fourfold increase in the price of oil. And, as the easy oil supply runs down, the growth of China and India is leading to a record increase in demand.

The IEA and other 'optimists' claim the gap can be filled by a rapid increase in the development of non-conventional oil supplies, for example from deep-water drilling and the exploitation of sub-prime oil deposits in oil shale rocks and tar sands.

The problem is that the amount of energy needed to turn them into useable oil is many times higher than that needed to extract conventional oil. Energy experts warn that

the low EROEI (Energy Returned on Energy Invested) ratio of such unconventional reserves means that they cannot fill the growing gap. Crucially, this 'pessimistic' analysis comes from the very people who have been proven right about peak production arriving sooner rather than later. The outlook is bleak.

On the 8th of February 2011, the *Guardian* released an article entitled WikiLeaks cables: Saudi Arabia cannot pump enough oil to keep a lid on prices. The leaked information regarded confidential cables between Sada al-Husseini, ex-senior executive at the Saudi oil monopoly Aramco, and US officials. The urgent issue? Saudi's crude oil reserves may have been overstated by 300 billion barrels, 40 per cent of its reserves.

The same is almost certainly true in other OPEC states too, because their production quotas are linked to their claimed reserves. The more they say they have, the more they can pump. Hence they've been lying for years in order to keep the petro-dollars pouring in. But now it's all unravelling.

The production slump will have the twin impact of sending the price of oil so high that it snuffs out economic growth in the West, at the same time as falling oil revenues hit the ability of Arab dictators to buy off an increasingly impoverished and radicalised young population. The whole thing is a recipe for economic disaster and Islamic extremism.

When still President, George Bush stated bluntly the attitude of the US to the flow of oil: "The American way of life is non-negotiable."

Looking at the ways in which the CIA and other 'Western' intelligence forces have been working to destabilise their own client states in the Arab world, it appears that this doctrine remains at the centre of US policy. If the American way of life is to be kept going, American troops – and their hapless allies – are going to have to be sent in to seize and hold the remaining oil reserves of the Middle East. Iraq was only a test run – the era of resource wars is only just beginning.

As fighting in Libya raged between forces loyal to Col Gaddafi and Western- and Islamist-backed rebels, David Cameron was on the brink of sending 800 soldiers from the Black Watch to intervene. On top of that, Britain's gung-ho Prime Minister led the calls to establish a 'No-Fly' Zone over Libya – despite horrified warnings from defence chiefs that

this would involve a shooting war for which the RAF no longer has the capability.

As we go to press, Libya is being pounded from air and sea. While media attention was diverted by the tragedy in Japan the political elite in Europe and the USA had worked round the clock to coordinate armed intervention on behalf of the rebels.

USAID's Rapid Response teams are headed for the Egyptian and Tunisian borders with Libya. Hillary Clinton has recently stated that the US stood ready to offer "any kind of assistance that anyone wishes to have from the United States" in the effort to oust Gaddafi.

The Pakistan Observer has reported that hundreds of 'defence advisors' from the US, the UK and France have already landed in Libya and are helping to train rebel forces. A Libyan diplomat in the region confirmed, "the three Western states have landed their special forces troops in Cyrenacia and are now setting up their bases and training centres" to reinforce the rebels.

AFGHANISTAN DISASTER

Meanwhile, the US Sixth Fleet has positioned ships in the Mediterranean, while the Royal Navy is taking tactical positions off the coast of Libya. Despite the disastrous experience of Iraq and Afghanistan, the prospect of a joint US/European invasion of Libya is all too real.

Why? In one word, 'oil'. Libya is a major exporter of black gold, and with Saudi Arabia's production at peak, Gaddafi's renewed nationalistic approach is clearly causing alarm in Washington, London and Paris.

In September 2009, Libya instituted tough new conditions on foreign oil companies operating there. Any new foreign ventures would require the appointment of a Libyan Chief Executive. Libya blocked a bid from China to buy out a Canadian company which had Libyan interests, and have imposed tougher terms on international companies such as Eni and Total.

This is the background to the efforts of various shadowy 'Western' intelligence agencies and 'liberal' foundations to incite 'democratic' rebellions against Gaddafi, and then to use the violence as the pretext for invasion. As John Laughland, from the Institute of

Democracy and Cooperation, recently told the increasingly influential and reliable satellite TV station Russia Today: "Libya is an important state and the West does want try to establish some sort of control over the situation. It is because it wants to recuperate the situation, it wants to muscle in and appropriate to itself developments it did not initiate."

But if the Western powers are worried about securing future oil supplies or the threat from Islamic banking competition, why would they be so keen to back rebellions that clearly threaten to open the door to full-blown Islamist revolutions? After all, chants of 'Allah u Akbar' dominate most coverage of crowds during the crisis.

The answer lies in understanding what those pressing for intervention in the Middle East really want. George Soros, the Rothschilds and the CIA do not in reality give a flying fig for democracy or freedom. What they want is an excuse to send troops in to seize the oil. They also need enough fear of Islamic extremism to persuade our populations that we have no choice but to go to war. If the resulting Middle Eastern conflagration provided cover for a US or Israeli strike against Iran's nuclear facilities, that would merely be a bonus as far as these warmongers are concerned. It's all about power politics, not human rights.

Similarly, the key threat to the banking monopoly enjoyed for so long by giant firms like Rothschilds does not come from the Mad Mullahs of Tehran or al-Qaeda terrorists; it is from competition from the serious banking institutions of moderate and relatively Westernised regimes such as that already overthrown in Tunisia, and on the verge of being destroyed in Bahrain.

These things, and not propaganda about heroic but outgunned rebels or more mythical Weapons of Mass Destruction, are the real reasons why the political elite are so determined to use young British soldiers and airmen to meddle in the Middle East.

No good will come of it, except that such unwanted and foolish intervention would provide the British National Party with a massive and popular political space to fill – the voice of the British people against foreign adventurism on the coat-tails of the USA. We'll be there for Peace!

BRITISH NATIONALIST

THE OFFICIAL BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY MEMBERS' BULLETIN

BNP BEAT LIB DEMS AND TORIES IN BURNLEY



The British National Party moves up the polls to beat both the coalition parties with a very professional campaign in Burnley on 10th March.

The British National Party beat both Coalition Government parties in a local election in Burnley on 10th March. Paul McDevitt won second place and significantly improved on our percentage from 2010, when we polled eighteen per cent and came third, just ahead of the Tories. This time, nearly a quarter of all those who voted supported us.

The Lib Dems, who previously held the seat, run the council and the Westminster Parliamentary seat. They threw everything into this by-election, putting out four A3 leaflets

in the last two days of the campaign alone! A strong campaign by our candidate, aided by literature and new techniques from central party, held off the huge Lib Dem push, and we can be very satisfied with this result in a marginal seat with three parties going for the win.

The campaign data was collated and held on Alfred, the party's elections database. The massive leap forward for us is that all the data is now safely in Alfred and being added to by the day. The marked register (the exact record of who voted in the election) is currently being uploaded, which

adds another advantage to our next assault on the seat in May. Instead of starting with a blank sheet – as has been our election curse for too long – Paul McDevitt is now working towards the May elections filling in the gaps in our data in the ward, a quantum leap from previous years.

Another exciting trial was also conducted during this by-election: phone canvassing. This data was also inputted into Alfred along with the canvass results, and the whole process is now being refined. As every election goes by, we close the gap on the other parties. This result has shown that, as the jigsaw comes together and each string is added to our bow, we become stronger.

The electoral revolution taking place in the British National Party is not a quick fix; it is a logical, methodical progression and a long task that will need dedication and patience, but it is a revolution we must undertake to compete with – and beat – the opposition.

Full result:

- 1st: Beatrice Foster
Labour – 521
- 2nd: Paul McDevitt
British National Party – 288 (23.8%)
- 3rd: Kate Mottorshead
Liberal Democrat – 261
- 4th: Matthew Isherwood
Conservative – 81
- 5th: Andrew Hennessey
Independent – 58



PETITION GOES TO NUMBER 10



“It’s time for Cameron to listen to the British people – it’s time to get out of foreign wars and Bring Our Boys Home from Afghanistan. On behalf of all the families and loved ones of soldiers who have been sent ill-equipped into a conflict that has nothing to do with us, I thank from the bottom of my heart the countless British National Party activists who have put so much work into making this petition such a huge success.”

This was the heart of Nick Griffin’s message to activists and supporters

who had given up their time to travel to attend the formal delivery of many boxes of Bring Our Boys Home petitions to Downing Street on 17th March.

With soldiers from Ireland and Ulster having played such a big part in the long and glorious history of Britain’s armed forces, it was particularly fitting that the petition was handed in on St. Patrick’s Day. Among those helping Mr. Griffin to deliver the petition against the futile Afghan War were Peter Malloy, head of the British National Party’s Armed Forces Veterans’ Group

and National Organiser and Gulf War Tank Regiment veteran Adam Walker.

The thousands of names collected during the petition drive are being entered into our online voter targeting and campaigning database Alfred. All-in-all, our first major experiment with petition-gathering was a great success and has taught us a great deal about such operations. Local issue and national petitions are going to play an important role in building our party in the future so, once again, a big thank you to everyone who helped.

DAYS OF ACTION LAUNCH ASSEMBLY CAMPAIGN

Our campaign for the Welsh Assembly got off to a flying start in March with busy Days of Action in South and North Wales.

The campaign launch in South Wales received extensive media coverage and involved four High Street table top teams and five leafleting teams distributing a total of more than 20,000 specially designed warm-up leaflets. The evening meeting at the end of activities was chaired by Swansea Organiser Clive Bennett and addressed by Welsh Regional Organiser Brian Mahoney and party leader Nick Griffin.

The following weekend saw teams out in North Wales, following up on the success of a campaign against a proposed mosque in the former steel town of Shotton by popular Radio Red-White-and-Blue host and local Councillor John Walker.

The appeal to raise the funds needed to do real justice to the campaign for these winnable Proportional Representation seats has already been launched online, and will shortly go into overdrive with the party's first big postal appeal since last autumn.

"We really do need every penny possible," Brian Mahoney tells *British Nationalist*.

"Five years ago we were a few thousand votes off winning seats in both North and South Wales. With the collapse of the Tory and LibDem vote we are in with a real chance. For us to take Assembly seats in Labour's Welsh heartlands would send shockwaves through the political system.

"Just imagine the faces of creatures such as Neil Kinnock and Peter Hain if we can take those seats! If that's not worth some extra effort in terms of donations and activism on future Days of Action, I don't know what is. Thank you for your support."

● Chairman's Letter



Dear Fellow Patriot

Nationalist ideas – our compass on the long road ahead. Everyone with a brain and a soul can sense that we are moving into an extraordinary period of economic, social and political turmoil. Such periods are often characterised by incoherent, short-lived protest movements that burst onto the scene, promise much and then fade away as it emerges that even their most ardent supporters don't really know where they want to go or how they intend to get there.

With so few years remaining in which to turn the situation around and save our country and our people, we cannot afford for the British National Party to be such a flash-in-the-pan protest. Instead, we are redoubling our efforts to ensure that everyone who comes into contact with us rapidly learns that our nationalism is not some disparate collection of negative grievances, but rather an intellectually coherent, thoroughly positive ideology.

We need to be less concerned with what is wrong with the world and concentrate more on why things have gone wrong, and on our plans to put them right in the better future that we are going to build together.

This issue sets the tone for future ones in this regard. In the latest *Identity*, we have an important analysis of the hugely powerful forces pushing Britain towards an unwinnable Oil War in the Middle East, a section that explains why such resource wars are set to become the last act in the gruesome pantomime of Globalisation, and a feature that assesses the British National Party's current political situation in Britain and what we have to do to move ahead. We also publish an important article which uses the differences between our party and UKIP to show the true nature of radical, yet practical British nationalism.

Some of this material is far from lightweight. But unlike the snake-oil salesmen of the old parties, we do not believe that our members and supporters are only capable of understanding simplistic soundbites. So *Identity* is going to treat its many thousands of readers as the intelligent, thoughtful adults they all are. For our job is not just to understand our world, but to change it for the better.

Yours faithfully,

Nick Griffin MEP
Leader, British National Party

Upgrade Your Membership To Gold

Gold Membership is reserved for those British National Party members who want to go that extra mile.

Show everyone that you are one of the good people who care enough to make a difference. Wear your heart on your sleeve... or rather your lapel.

The new Gold membership badges are something you will wear with pride at party meetings and events. The Gold membership badge is a design icon and really is something very special.

Gold membership for newcomers is £60 for 12 months. Existing members need only top up their membership by £30 to become Gold members.

Upgrade to Gold today.

Call now

0844 809 4581

or upgrade online at:

www.bnp.org.uk/upgrades.html



When you see the hatred in the eyes of the fanatics, remember that the love in our hearts is a greater power.